Plant Archives Vol. 25, No. 1, 2025 pp. 904-908



Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.no.1.136

EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENTS ON ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY OF AFRICAN MARIGOLD (TAGETES ERECTA L.) CV. PUSA NARANGI GENDA

Rajmani Singh¹, Sutanu Maji^{1*}, Ankit Kumar Goyal² and Sudhanshu Verma³

¹Department of Horticulture, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University (A Central University) Lucknow-226025, U.P., India. ²Department of Vegetable Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur-208002, U.P. India.

³Department of Vegetable Science, Govind Ballabh Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand – 263145, U.P., India.

*Corresponding author E-mail: majisutanu@gmail.com (Date of Receiving-05-12-2024; Date of Acceptance-25-02-2025)

A field experiment was conducted during the winter season of 2020-21 and 2021-22 to study the effect of Integrated Nutrient Managements on economic feasibility of African marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Genda. The experiment was conducted at the Department of Horticulture, Research Farm No.-1, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Vidya-Vihar, Rae-Bareli Road, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. Three biofertilizers (Azotobacter, Azospirillum and PSB), three levels of vermicompost (2.0, 3.0 and 4.0 t ha⁻¹) and three levels of NPK (75, 60 and 45% of RDF) were included in the treatments. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design with three replications and eleven different treatment combinations of inorganic, organic and biofertilizers nutrients to calculate the economics of marigold crop cultivation. Among the different treatments studied, highest gross return (402980 Rs/ha) was obtained from treatment T_o(60% ABSTRACT $RDF + 3 t ha^{-1} Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB)$ followed by T_c (75% RDF + 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB) (Rs 373620/ha), T₂(75% RDF + 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) (Rs 352670/ha) and minimum gross return was recorded from treatment T, (Control) (Rs. 111100/ha) with a net return of Rs. 291207/ha (T_{o}), Rs. 265514/ha (T_{c}), Rs 244939 /ha (T_{a}) and Rs 70350 /ha (T₁), respectively. The investment in marigold crop was found to be economically sound and highly remunerative as these treatments produce highest flower yield per hectare resulted in maximum B:C ratio of 2.60 (T_{o}) followed by 2.45 (T_{c}), 2.27 (T_{c}) and 0.77 (T_{i}) respectively, hence the same can be exploited for commercial cultivation to meet the increasing global demand as well as to increase income of the growers. Key word: Economic feasibility, INM, Marigold, Profit, Yield.

Introduction

Flowers are regarded as a source of aesthetic, affection and natural prosperity. We use flowers to evoke positive emotions like joy and love. Marigold native to South and Central America, predominantly Mexico and belongs to Composite family. The marigold exhibited larvicidal, antibacterial and antimicrobial activities. In India, major flower growing states are West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Karnataka and Uttar Pradesh etc. About 305thousand-hectare area under floriculture with production estimated to 2301 thousand MT of loose flower and 762 thousand MT of cut flower. Among these flowers, marigold is the leading loose flower of India (Anomous, 2020-2021). There are three species of the genus *Tagetes* that are frequently grown viz., *Tagetes erecta* (African marigold), *Tagetes patula* (French marigold) and *Tagetes minuta*. *Tagetes erecta* and *Tagetes patula* are two of these that are more frequently grown for their ornamental qualities, *Tagetes minuta* for the high amount of essential oil. The oil of *T. minuta* was reported to possess bronchoditary, tranquilizing, spasmolytic and anti-

inflammatory properties (Chandhoke and Ghatok, 1969). Marigolds have a long, upright growth habit. Plants can grow to a height of 30 to 90 cm. Deep orange, light orange, golden yellow, bright yellow and lemon yellow etc. are the color of the flowers of these species. Flowers can range in size from 4 to 6 cm of diameter (Singh and Sisodia, 2017). Loose flowers mostly used for the purpose of weaving garlands, decoration buildings, gates and pandals for social events, wedding ceremonies, worshipping and creating floral rangoli, it is used as cut flowers for vase decoration and other arrangements.

Every home garden, park and garden in the nation regularly grows marigold as a garden plant for bedding and pot culture, herbaceous border, hanging baskets and window boxes. To increase the yellow colour of egg yolks, carotenoid pigment from marigold is a useful source for chicken feed. Marigold is also used in the manufacturing of essential oils and natural colours. It serves as a nematode and mosquito repellent (Bose and Yadav, 1993). The planting of marigolds has been effective in nematode population reduction, mainly for Meloidogyne species. The chemical alphaterthienyl, one of the most naturally occurring toxic compounds, is released from the root of Tagetes species (Gommers and Bakker, 1988). This substance prevents nematode eggs from hatching when it is present. Growing Tagetes erecta and Tagetes patula led to a noticeably reduced rate of nematode multiplication. Thiopenes, which marigolds produce and are employed as trap crops in tomato, brinjal, tobacco and other plants, are toxic to nematodes (Raghava, 2000).

Marigold required adequate nutrients for good flower yield and most of Indian soils are deficient in major and micro nutrients. Indiscriminate use of conventional fertilizers by farmers for increasing crop productivity causes several problems like - water contamination, environmental pollution, soil degradation and food toxicity that caused health hazards for human beings and animals also. Among the different options, integrated nutrient management is a technique that encourages the judicious and efficient application of chemical fertilizers along with the addition of organic manures and bio-fertilizers. An integrated nutrient management system (INMS) may play a vital role in sustaining both soil health and flower production on long term basis, which may be achieved through combined use of all possible sources of nutrition. Integration of chemical fertilizers with organic manures and bio-fertilizers can maintain soil health and soil productivity (Bhandari et al., 2012).

The economic factors of any agricultural recommendation, including the flower yield (q/ha), cost of cultivation (Rs/ha), grass profit (Rs/ha), net profit (Rs/

ha) and benefit: cost ratio are very essential for its adaptation to the farming community. The ultimate goal of producers is to maximize their profits, which depends on crop output. The application of input and other cultural practices directly affected the yield.

Materials and Methods

During the winter seasons of 2020-21 and 2021-22, the present investigation was conducted at the Department of Horticulture, Research Farm No.-1, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar University, Vidya-Vihar, Rae-Bareli Road, Lucknow-226025. The seeds were obtained from seed production unit, IARI, New Delhi. Thirty days old seedlings of African marigold variety Pusa Narangi Gainda were transplanted at 45×45 cm in wellprepared seedbed $(1.8m \times 1.8m)$ in the month of December. Fertilizers were applied at the rate of 200:80:80kg NPK/ha. 50% of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorous, potash and vermicompost were applied just before transplanting of seedlings according to the treatment combinations and remaining 50% of nitrogen was applied at 45 days after planting. The seedlings roots were dipped for 30 minutes in the prepared solution of bio-fertilizers (Azospirillum, Azotobactor and PSB) for 30 minutes @ 5 ml/liter of water before transplanting. The present experiment comprised with eleven treatments i.e. T₁ (Control), T₂ (100% RDF), T₃ (75% RDF + 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB), T₄ (75% RDF + 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azospirillium + PSB), T_5 (75% RDF + 2 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB), T_{6} (60% RDF + 3 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) T_{τ} (60%) $RDF + 3 t ha^{-1} Vermicompost + Azospirillium + PSB),$ T_{s} (60% RDF + 3 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB), T_{9} (45% RDF + 4 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB), T₁₀ (45% RDF + 4 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azospirillium + PSB) and T_{11} (45% RDF + 4 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB) these treatments were replicated thrice in Randomized Block Design (RBD). The cost of cultivation (Rs. /ha), gross income (Rs. /ha), net profit (Rs. /ha) and the Cost: Benefit ratio were used to calculate the economic aspects of various treatments. The cost of cultivation was calculated by current market rate. The obtained data was statistically analyzed adopting procedure as given by Panse and Sukhatme (1985) and treatment means were statistically compared at 5% level of significance.

Results and Discussion

During the period 2020-21, the maximum flower yield (206.07 q/ha) was observed (Table 1) under the treatment

S.	Tractment	Flower	yield per p	olant (g)	Flower yield per ha (q)		
N.	Ireaunent	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled
T_1	Control	164.88	162.20	163.54	81.17	79.93	80.55
T ₂	100% RDF	331.94	318.15	325.05	163.78	156.89	160.34
T ₃	75% RDF + 2 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + $Azotobacter$ + PSB	358.51	356.12	357.32	176.95	175.72	176.34
T_4	75% RDF + 2 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azospirillium + PSB	334.26	327.20	330.73	165.01	161.42	163.22
T ₅	75% RDF + 2 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB	377.21	380.11	378.66	186.07	187.55	186.81
T ₆	60% RDF + 3 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	278.71	263.83	271.27	137.44	130.04	133.74
T ₇	60% RDF + 3 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azospirillium + PSB	319.94	297.87	308.91	157.81	146.91	152.36
T ₈	60% RDF + 3 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB	417.60	399.10	408.35	206.07	196.91	201.49
T 9	45% RDF + 4 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	261.02	255.19	258.11	128.70	125.82	127.26
T ₁₀	45% RDF + 4 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azospirillium + PSB	237.24	231.15	234.20	117.07	113.99	115.53
T ₁₁	45% RDF + 4 t ha ⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB	294.56	276.78	285.67	145.37	136.52	140.95
SE(m)±			13.18	13.28	6.59	6.50	6.55
	CD (p=0.05)	39.73	39.16	35.88	19.60	19.32	17.70

 Table 1:
 Effect of integrated nutrient management on flower yields of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda.

T8 (60% RDF + 3 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillium* + PSB) followed by the treatment T5. While, minimum flower yield per ha (81.17 q/ha) was observed in the treatment T₁ (Control). Similarly, during the period of 2021-22, the maximum flower yield (196.91 q/ha) was observed under thetreatment T8 (60% RDF + 3 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + *Azospirillium* + PSB) followed by the treatment T5. While, minimum flower yield per ha (79.93 q/ha) was observed in the treatment T₁ (Control). The maximum pooled value of flower yield per ha was observed in treatment T₈ (201.49 q/ha), followed by treatment T₅ and the minimum flower yield per ha (80.55 q/ha) in treatment T₁ (Control). This may be because biofertilizers produce substances that promote growth, such as IAA, gibberellin-like substances, vitamin B 12, thiamine, and riboflavin (B 2), which improve soil fertility when vermicompost is applied with a balanced dose of inorganic fertilizers. This increases the availability of vital plant nutrients, which promotes root and shoot development and, ultimately, growth. After then, it may have affected the reproductive stage and induced flowering, increasing the number of flowers per plant, flower yield plot, and per hectare. These findings are in accordance with those of Gupta (1983), Kapadiya *et al.*, (2008), Patel *et al.*, (2008), Naik *et al.*, (2008), Dodake *et al.*, (2007) and Sunitha *et al.*, (2006) and Chaitra and Patil (2007) in China aster, Parmar (2006) in gaillardia.

 Table 2:
 Effect of integrated nutrient managements on economics of the crop production of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda.

Treat-	Total	Gross returns (Rs. / ha.)			Net returns (Rs. / ha.)			B:C ratio				
ments	cost	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled	2020-21	2021-22	Pooled		
T ₁	90750	162340	59860	111100	71590	69110	70350	0.78	0.76	0.77		
T ₂	99631	327560	313780	320670	227928	214149	221038.5	2.28	2.14	2.21		
T ₃	107731	353900	351440	352670	246169	243709	244939	2.28	2.26	2.27		
T ₄	107881	330020	322840	326430	222139	214959	218549	2.05	1.99	2.02		
T ₅	108106	372140	375100	373620	264034	266994	265514	2.44	2.46	2.45		
T ₆	111678	274880	260080	267480	163202	148402	155802	1.46	1.32	1.39		
T ₇	111548	315620	293820	304720	204072	182272	193172	1.82	1.63	1.72		
T ₈	111773	412140	393820	402980	300367	282047	291207	2.68	2.52	2.60		
T9	115066	257400	251640	254520	142334	136574	139454	1.23	1.18	1.20		
T ₁₀	115216	234140	267980	251060	118924	112764	115844	1.03	2.32	1.67		
T ₁₁	115066	290740	273040	281890	175674	157974	166824	1.52	1.37	1.44		
Rate: Urea-5.93Rs/kg,DAP-24Rs/kg, MOP-19Rs/kg, Vermicompost-5.0Rs/kg, <i>Azotobater</i> -900Rs/litre, <i>Azospirillium</i> -1500Rs/litre, PSR-380Rs/litre, Production Cost, 90750.0, Selling Price of Marigold flowers -20 Rs/kg												

The return per hectare was estimated in terms of flower yield per hectare at existing market rate of Lucknow during the year 2020-21 and 2021-22. The economics of the marigold crop cultivation under different treatment combination was worked out on the basis of input-output analysis. The cost of seed, fertilizers, biofertilizers, labor costs, insecticides, pesticides, irrigation, harvesting and other inputs were all directly related to the cost of cultivation. Under several treatments, it was determined that grass profit was directly correlated with the yield of marketable flower. Thus, the results obtained on various components of crop economics were presented in Table 2. Economics of marigold crop production for one-hectare different cost components were evaluated and found that total cost of marigold cultivation was Rs. 90750.0/ha. The data cleary indicated that the highest cost of cultivation was calculated with an expenditure of 115216 Rs/ha while, the minimum cost of cultivation Rs.90750. The maximum gross income of (402980 Rs./ ha) was calculated from T_e treatment and minimum gross income (111100 Rs./ha) calculated from T₁ treatment. The maximum net returns (291207 Rs. /ha) was recorded with T_o treatment which is best from other treatments. However, the minimum net returns (70350 Rs./ha) was worked out from T₁ treatment. The maximum cost: benefit ratio (1:2.60) was noted from T_8 treatment. However, minimum cost: benefit ratio (1: 0.77) was recorded from T₁ treatment due to their poor performance in terms of yield, flowering behaviour and susceptibility to biotic factors. The results are in accordance with the findings of Baboo and Sharma (1997) and Hemavathi (1997) in chrysanthemum, Raju and Haripriya (2001) in crssandra, Shasshidhara and Gopinath (2005) in calendula, Kumar et al., (2020) and Godse et al., (2006) in gladiolus.

Conclusion

On the basis of present investigation the highest net return (291207 Rs/ha) and highest Benefit:Cost ratio (2.60 : 1) was calculated by the application of T_8 treatment (60% RDF + 3 t ha⁻¹ Vermicompost + Azotobacter + Azospirillium + PSB).

References

- Anonymous (2020-2021). Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board.
- Baboo, R. and Sharma K.S.K. (1997). Effect of nitrogen and potash fertilization on growth and flowering of annual chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium*). Journal of Ornamental Horticulture, **5(1-2)**, 44-45.
- Bhandari, S.A., Patel K.S. and Nehete D.S. (2012). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) cv. Gujarat Garlic-3. *The Asian J. Hort.* 7, 48-51.

- Bose, T.K. and Yadav L.P. (1993). Nutrient management in flower crop. Commercial Flower, 73.
- Chaitra, R. and Patil V.S. (2007). Integrated nutrient management studies in China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L.) *Karnatata Journal of Agricultural Science*, **20(3)**, 689-690.
- Chandhoke, N. and Ghotak B.J.R. (1969). *Tagetes minuta* Pharmaceutical actions of the essential oil. *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, **57**, 864-876.
- Dodake, S.B., Dabke D.J. and Dhane S.S. (2007). Influence of integrated use of fertilizers, FYM and vermicompost on growth, yield and nutrient uptake by marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.). *Journal Indian Soc. Coastal Agricultural Research*, 25(1), 16-18.
- Godse, S. B., Golliwar V.J., Chopde N., Bramhankar K.S. and Kore M.S. (2006). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers with reduced doses of inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus. *Journal of Soils* and Crops, 16(2), 445-449.
- Gommers, F.J. and Bakker J. (1988). Physiological diseases induced by plant response or products. *Diseases of nematodes*, 3-22.
- Gupta, R.G. and Konde B.K. (1983). Effect of different manures and fertilizers in china aster. *Agric. Res. Report*, **51**(9), 512-527.
- Hemavathi. M. (1997). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth and productivity of chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium Ramat.) cv. Local Yellow. M.Sc.(Agri.). Thesis, University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore.
- Kapadiya, D., Desai J.R., Singh A. and Nannavare P.V. (2008). Effect of bio inoculants on growth and yield of Africam marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi Gainda. *National Symposium on Recent Advances in Floriculture*, Navsari, 61.
- Kulkarni, B.S., Nalawadi U.G and Giraddi R.S. (1996). Effect of vermicompost and vermiculture on growth and yield of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* Nees.) cv. Ostrich Plume Mixed. *South Indian Horticulture*, 44(1&2), 33-35.
- Kumar, V., Singh A.P., Ojha M.D., Tiwari D., Pal M. and Verma R.K. (2020). Response of planting geometry and pinching on flower yield and economic feasibility of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn) CV. Pusa Narangi. *Journal* of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 9(3), 860-862.
- Naik, B.H., Shubha B.M., Patil B.C., Patil A.A. and Chandrasekhar S.Y. (2008). Effect of integrated nutrient management for carotenoid yield in African marigold. *National Symposium on Recent Advances in Floriculture*, Navsari, 54.
- Panchal, R.V. (2009). Effect of biofertilizers and chemical nitrogenous fertilizer on growth, flowering and yield of annual white chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.) under middle Gujarat condition. M.Sc. (Agriculture). Thesis. Anand Agricultural University, Anand.

- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme P.V. (1985). Statistical methods for agricultural worker 4th Edn. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Parmar, N.A. (2007). Response of biofertilizers and nitrogenous fertilizer on growth, flower yield and quality of China aster (*Callistephus chinensis* L. Nees.) under South Gujarat condition. M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis, Navsari Agricultural University, Navsari.
- Patel, P.R., Patel N.K., Valia R.Z. and Parmar B.R. (2008). Effect of nitrogen and vermicompost on floral and yield parameters on marigold. *National Symposium on Recent Advances in Floriculture*, Navsari, 71.
- Raghava, S.P.S. (2000). Marigold versatile crop with golden harvest. *Floriculture Today*, **4**(11), 40-41.
- Raju, S.N. and Haripriya K. (2001). Integrated nutrient management in *Crssandra infundibuliformis* L. cv.

'Dindigul local'. *South Indian Hort.*, **49** (special), 181-184.

- Shasshidhara, G.R. and Gopinath G. (2005). Growth, flowering, yield, quality and economics of calendula (*Calendula* officinalis Linn.) as influenced by nutrients and bioinculants. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture, 8(4), 249-253.
- Singh, A.K. and Sisodia A. (2017). Text book of Floriculture and Landscaping, New India Publishing Agency, New Delhi; 432.
- Sunitha, H.M., Hunje R., Vyakaranahal B.S. and Bablad H.B. (2007). Effect of plant spacing and integrated nutrient management on yield and quality of seed and vegetative growth parameters in African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.). *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **10(4)**, 245-249.